# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

RECEIVED

APR 2 1 2005

TAIL	AFR 2 1 ZUU				
Prom the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	PCFA. ERSFELD  NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF				
To: DEAN A. ERSFELD OFFICE OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COUNSEL POST OFFICE BOX 33427 SAINT PAUL, MN 55133-3427	THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION				
	(PCT Rule 44.1)				
Office of Intellectual Property	ounsel"				
"Office of Interectual Properties Con	(day/month/year) 10 AFR 2000				
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 comme				
58915WO006	International filing date (day/month/year) 01 October 2004 (01.10.2004)				
International application No. PCT/US04/32616	(day/month/year) of occase				
Applicant Pointed Que	and the state of t				
3M INOVATIVE PROFESTION	earch report and the written opinion of the International Searching rewith.				
The applicant is hereby notified that the international search Authority have been established and are transmitted hereby the search and are transmitted hereby the search are transmitted in the search are transmitted.	rewith.				
and statement under Article	19: (see Rule 46):				
The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the	s is normally two months from the date of transmittal of the international				
When? The time limit for filing such amendments search report.	Colombettes ColTE				
Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombia					
1211 Geneva 20, Strategy					
The applicant is hereby notified that no international	search report with Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.				
Article 17(2)(a) to that exteet and	additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:				
saidh regard to the protest against payment of (an)	additional Pureon together with the				
the protest together with the decision thereon in	the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.				
no decision has been made yet on the protest,	The second secon				
	the International				
4. Reminders  Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority	y date, the international application will be published by the International ication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the vided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of				
Bureau, If the applicant was a provinced Ruceau as provi	yided in Rules your I was				
the technical preparations for	to on the written opinion of the international				
The applicant may submit comments on an interview of the Arternational Bureau. The International Bureau will send	is on the written opinion of the International Searching Authors, a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international a copy of such comments would also be made available to the public but not ished. These comments would also be made available to the public but not				
nreliminary examination reports the original date.	a constituent preliminary				
before the expiration of 30 the	anest of some designated Unites, a designate from the priority				
preliminary examination report has been of is to be stated to be state					
date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the approximate acts for entry into the national phase before those designs acts for entry into the national phase before the time limit.	ated Offices.				
In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit	of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19  Office, see the PCT Applicant's				
months.	bout the applicable time limits, Office by office,				
Coida Volume II. Platform V.	Authorized officer				
Name and mailing address of the 13AV 65	Authorized officer  Evelyn Huang 7. Roberto for				
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents	Trickers No. (571) 272-1600				
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	Telephone No. (571) 272-1600  (See notes on accompanying sheet				
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	(Dec source				

Form PCT/ISA/220 (January 2004)

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

### **PCT**

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

International application No. PerTrUSSO/432616  Applicant 3M INOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY  This international search report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmapplicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.  This international search report consists of a total of	o.2003)
This international search report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmapplicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmatted to the International Bureau.  This international search report consists of a total of	
This international search report consists of a total of sheets.  It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.  Basis of the Report  a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international a language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  The international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).  b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application of the international app	
	l application
5. With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.	No IV. The applica
the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comm	ents to this Authorit
6. With regard to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No	
as suggested by the applicant.	
as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.	
as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.  b. none of the figures is to be published with the abstract.	

Form PCT/ISA/210 (first sheet) (January 2004)

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US04/32616

Box No. II	Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This internation	onal search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1.	Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2.	Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3. S. 6.4(a).	Claims Nos.: 5-10,14,21,25,34,38,41,64,65 and 76-90 because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule
Box No. II	I Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This Internal Please See C	tional Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: Continuation Sheet
1.	As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.  As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report
4.	No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark (	The supplied by the applicant's project.

Form PCT/ISA/210 (continuation of first sheet(2)) (January 2004)

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US04/32616

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  IPC(7): A61K 31/4745, 31/496, 31/506, 31/5377, 31/541, 31/551; C07D 471/04, 401/14, 405/14; A61P 37/02  US CL: 514/293, 232.8, 253.03, 218, 214.03, 217.07, 273, 228.5; 546/82; 544/126, 60, 361; 540/575, 585, 597  According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both pational classification and IPC  B. FIELDS SEARCHED					
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S.: 514/293, 232.8, 253.03, 218, 214.03, 217.07, 273, 228.5; 546/82; 544/126, 60, 361; 540/575, 585, 597					
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched					
Electronic dat CAS ONLINI	a base consulted during the international search (name B	of data base and, where practicable, sea	rch terms used)		
C. DOCK	JMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where app	ropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
X,P	US 2004/0176367 A1 (GRIESGRABER et al) 09 Sep document, especially page 53, Example 22; pages 54	tember 2004 (09.09.2004), see entire -56, compound I-Id.	1-4, 11-13, 15-20, 22- 24, 26-33, 35-37, 39, 40, 42-63, 66-75		
A	A US 6,200,592 B1 (TOMAI et al) 13 March 2001 (13.03.2001), columns 3-6, Formulae I- V. 1-4, 11-13, 15-20, 22 24, 26-33,35-37, 39- 40, 42-63, 66-75				
A	US 4,753,951 A (TAKADA et al) 28 June 1988 (28.	06.1988), columns 13-70.	91-93		
A	US 4,698,348 A (GERSTER) 06 October 1987 (06.1	0.1987), column 5, line 57.	91-93		
Further	r documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent family annex.			
* Special categories of cited documents:  * Special categories of cited documents:  *A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance:  *E" carlier application or patent published on or after the international fling date  *A"  **A"  **A"  **A"  **Comment defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of principle or theory underlying the invention  **A"  **A"  **A"  **Comment defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of principle or theory underlying the invention  **C"  **A"  **Comment defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of principle or theory underlying the invention  **C"  **A"  **Comment of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an invention that the application or patent published on or after the international fling date.			adon but cited to understand the ention  claimed invention cannot be sted to involve an inventive step		
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as onsidered to involve an inventive step when the document is com with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art					
"P" documer	"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the "&" document member of the same patent family priority date claimed				
	actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international sea	rch report		
08 March 2005 (08.03.2005)  Name and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents  P.O. Box 1450  Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230  18 APR 2005  Authorized officer  Evelyn Huang  Telephone No. (571) 272-1600			sto for		

·	International application No.
INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT	PCT/US04/32616
,	
	:
BOX III. OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION IS LAC	KING
I the state of the	are not so linked as to torin a shigh general inventive
This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In order for all inventions to be examined, the application of the concept under PCT Rule 13.1.	propriate additional examination lees must be paid.
Group I, claim(s) 1-4, 11-13, 15-20, 22-24, 26-33, 35-37, 39, 40, 42-63 and 66-	
Group I, claim(s) 1-4, 11-13, 13-20, 22-24, 20-33, 33-37, 35, 10, 12 as an accomposition and method of use.	
Group II, claim(s) 91-93, drawn to an imdazoquinoline compound of formula IX	
The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the	
Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical readings for the immunomodulating 4-aminoimidazoquinoline compound. Group II is drawn to has psychostimulant activity.	an imidazoquinoline compound of Formula IX, which
nas psychostitudate activity.	
	·
·	

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

m the	NAL SEARCHI	IG AUTHO	ORITY		
					PCT
o: EAN A. ER	SFELD				101
FFICE OF	INTELLECTUA	L PROPEI	TY COUNSEL		THE THE TANK OF THE
OST OFFIC	E BOX 33427			WF	ITTEN OPINION OF THE
SAINT PAUL, MN 55133-3427		INTERNATI	ONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
					(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
				Date of mailing (day/month/year)	1 8 APR 2005
				FOR FURTHER	RACTION
Applicant's	or agent's file ref	erence			See paragraph 2 below
58915WO00	6			(d = (we amth hyade)	Priority date (day/month/year)
International	application No.		International filing date	e (aay/monin/yeur)	
PCT/US04/3	22616		01 October 2004 (01.1	0.2004)	03 October 2003 (03.10.2003)
Tutamational	Detent Classific	ation (IPC)	or both national classific	cation and IPC	
			04/541 21/6:	51. COTD 471/04 4	01/14, 405/14; A61P 37/02 and US CI.: 1; 540/575, 585, 597
IPC(7): A61	IK 31/4745, 31/4	96, 31/506	, 31/5377, 31/541, 31/5. 217.07, 273, 228.5; 546/	82; 544/126, 60, 36	1; 540/575, 585, 597
514/293, 23	2.8, 253.03, 218	, 214.03, 4	217.07, 275, 220.0, 2.14.		
Applicant					
3M INOVA	TIVE PROPER	TIES COM	PANY		
1. This of	pinion contains it	dications r	elating to the following it	tems:	
Box No. I Basis of the opinion					
	Box No. II	Priority			11-11-11-11-1
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
	Box No. IV	Lack of u	mity of invention		
Box No. V  Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
	Box No. VI		documents cited		,
	Box No. VII	Certain (	defects in the internations	al application	
	Box No. VIII	Certain	observations on the inter-	national application	
2 10	THER ACTIO	)N			in an initial of the
If a c	iemand for inter: national Prelimin	national pro pary Exam	eliminary examination is ining Authority ("IPEA be the IPEA and the cho rnational Searching Auth	sen IPÊA has notifi	will be considered to be a written opinion of the does not apply where the applicant chooses an ed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) considered.
If thi IPEA mail	is opinion is, as A a written repling of Form PCI	provided a y together, //ISA/220	bove, considered to be a where appropriate, with or before the expiration of	written opinion of	the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the one the expiration of 3 months from the date of the priority date, whichever expires later.
For	further options,	see Form P	CT/ISA/220.		
}			Form PCT/ISA/220.		·
				Authorized	officer ang 7. Roberts for No. (571) 272-1600
Name an	d mailing addres	S OI THE 137	יטט ער פ	Paralasa III.	and I Kolenton Lon
	Mail Stop PCT, A	AUII: ISAV US r Datents	,	Evelyn riu	ω <sub>5</sub> γ, γων το την την τ
	P O Rox 1450				No. 7571) 272-1600
	Alexandria, Virg	inia 22313-1	450	Telephone	140. (311) \$12-1000

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

International application No.

PCT/US04/32616

Box No	. 1 Basis of this opinion
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1. With a it was	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With claim	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the at invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
â.	type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b.	format of material
	in written format
	in computer readable form
c.	time of filing/furnishing
	contained in international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Addi	tional comments:
I	

International application No.

PCT/US04/32616

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability 1. The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of: the entire international application claims Nos. 5-10,14,21,25,34,38,41,64,65 and 76-90 because: relate to the following subject matter which does not the said international application, or the said claim Nos. \_\_\_ require an international preliminary examination (specify): the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 5-10.14.21.25.34.38.41.64.65 and 76-90 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify): They are improperly multiply dependent claims. PCT Rule 6.4 (a). the claims, or said claims Nos. \_\_\_\_\_\_are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed. no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that: has not been furnished the written form does not comply with the standard has not been furnished the computer readable form does not comply with the standard the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions. See Supplemental Box for further details.

International application No.

PCT/US04/32616

Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention	_
In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has:  paid additional fees  paid additional fees under protest  not paid additional fees	
2. This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant	ıŧ
to pay additional fees.  3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is	
complied with	
not complied with for the following reasons:	
See the lack of unity section of the International Search Report(Form PCT/ISA/210)	
	ļ
the following parts of the international annifortion:	
4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:  all parts.	
the parts relating to claims Nos	
ine parts retaining to claims 170s.	

International application No. PCT/US04/32616

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
1. Statement				
Novelty (N)	Claims	Please See Continuation Sheet	YES	
2.0	Claims	Please See Continuation Sheet	NO	
7	Claime	Please See Continuation Sheet	YES	
Inventive step (IS)		Please See Continuation Sheet	NONO	
			sama.	
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	Please See Continuation Sheet	YES	
, and the second se	Clairns	Please See Continuation Sheet	NO	

#### 2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-4, 11-13, 15-20, 22-24, 26-33, 35-37, 39, 40, 42-63, and 66-75 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the closest prior art, US 6,200,592 B1, only teaches alkoxy, and does not teach or fairly suggest the instant -O- $R_3$  substituent on the imidazoquinoline.

Claims 91-93 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the closest prior art, US 4,698,348 A, only teaches methoxy, and does not teach or fairly suggest the instant -O- $R_3$  substituent on the imidazoquinoline.

Claims 1-4, 11-13, 15-20, 22-24, 26-33, 35-37, 39, 40, 42-63, 66-75, and 91-93 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus find industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in the pharmaceutical industry as immunomodulating agent in treatment of diseases.

International application No. PCT/US04/32616

Supplemental Box In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.
V.1. Reasoned Statements: The opinion as to Novelty was positive (Yes)with respect to claims 1-4, 11-13, 15-20, 22-24, 26-33, 35-37, 39, 40, 42-63, 66-75, 91-
The opinion as to Novelty was negative (No) with respect to claims NONE The opinion as to Inventive Step was positive (Yes) with respect to claims 1-4, 11-13, 15-20, 22-24, 26-33, 35-37, 39-40, 42-63, 66-
75, 91-93 The opinion as to Inventive Step was negative(NO) with respect to claims NONE The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was positive (YES) with respect to claims 1-4, 11-13, 15-20, 22-24, 26-33, 35-37, 39, 40,
The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was positive (YES) will respect to claims 1-4, 17 15, 15 25, 25 5, 25 42-63, 66-75, 91-93  The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was negative(NO) with respect to claims NONE
The opinion as to kindustan reprincipality